From:	David Graham
To:	Steve Hoover
Cc:	Randy Zentz; APC; John & Heather Dietz; David Helm; tlclark25@hotmail.com; j.mcdonald@indy.rr.com
Subject:	TIME SENSITIVE: Proposed Viking Meadows PUD Amendment
Date:	Monday, January 21, 2013 5:36:32 PM

Steve,

Due to a family medical emergency I will not be able to attend the APC meeting tomorrow night where I had planned to address the group. Given my absence, I wanted to share my thoughts with you and the APC in writing:

Over the last two weeks, David Compton has engaged in face-to-face meetings with multiple residents of the Meadowlands Section of Viking Meadows. The tone of these meetings has been constructive and reasonable. As a result of this renewed dialogue, we have collaborated on several modifications to the proposed PUD Amendment, all of which we believe benefit homeowners, the City of Westfield and Pulte. I want to commend Mr. Compton for his renewed commitment to communicating with Meadowlands residents. While we still have some issues to resolve with the HOA Management company, re-establishing an open line of communiaton has made the process work much more smoothly for all parties involved.

As you know, my family lives on lot #33 in the Meadowlands at Viking Meadows, which is adjacent to lots #75 and #70, both of which are impacted in the amended PUD request. I want to affirm to you that my family is in support of the portions of the PUD Amendment that relate to approving the variance allowing lot #75 to be a minimum of 10,000 square feet as well as establishing the landscape easement behind lots #33-#36. In addition we are in support of the proposed changes with regard to the Monon Trail Buffering. We are mindful that this renewed dialogue was stimulated by requests from you, Mayor Cook and other Westfield officials who have implored Mr. Compton to engage with the residents. We are appreciative of all your efforts.

As for the portions of the amendment relating to the Valley View section, I firmly believe those issues should be left to Valley View homeowners and would encourage the city to separate this proposed change from the Meadowlands changes as they are completely unrelated and have the potential to pit one section of the neighborhood against the other. As a matter of principle, going forward I would not be in support of anything that further dilutes the PUD standards in the absence of major benefits to the existing residents.

In closing, thanks for your engagement throughout this difficult process and for your efforts to find solutions that are in the best interests of all parties.

Best regards,

Dave Graham
Viking Meadows Lot #33

Cell: 317.989.0352